

## CURRICULUM INNOVATION FOR DEVELOPING FUTURE-READY GRADUATES IN HIGHER EDUCATION

Ihsana El Khuluqo<sup>1</sup>

Tri Handito<sup>2</sup>

Universitas Muhammadiyah Prof. DR. HAMKA

### Abstract

Global changes, the rapid advancement of digital technology, and the dynamics of the labor market demand that higher education institutions transform through curriculum innovation to produce graduates who are ready to face future challenges. This study employs a case study approach to analyze the implementation of curriculum innovation in a selected university program. The focus is directed toward how the curriculum is designed and implemented to integrate 21st-century competencies, such as critical thinking, creativity, problem-solving, collaboration, and digital literacy. Data were collected through curriculum document analysis, interviews with lecturers and program administrators, and observation of learning practices. Thematic analysis was conducted to identify strategies, challenges, and achievements related to curriculum innovation. The findings reveal that competency-based and interdisciplinary learning-oriented curriculum innovations enhance graduates' relevance to labor market needs while fostering an adaptive and collaborative learning culture. These results affirm that curriculum innovation not only contributes to the mastery of technical skills but also nurtures students' resilience and readiness to navigate future uncertainties. This study offers practical implications for higher education institutions in designing more contextual and sustainable curriculum innovation strategies, as well as conceptual contributions to the growing body of literature on higher education in the digital era.

**Keyword:** curriculum innovation; higher education; future-ready graduates; 21st-century skill

### Introduction

In recent years, the topic of curriculum innovation in higher education has emerged prominently in academic discourse as part of what is often termed “state of the art” studies—that is, research at the forefront exploring how universities are adapting curricula to meet future needs. Scholars have investigated diverse but related initiatives including competency-based education, interdisciplinary curricula, integration of digital literacy, and pedagogical strategies that foster adaptability, creativity, and resilience among graduates (Jayadi et al., 2025; Mawardi et al., 2024). These studies provide a foundation for understanding how institutions are responding to pressures from technological change, globalization, evolving labor markets, and sociocultural demands.

Global shifts such as the Fourth Industrial Revolution, increasing automation, and digitally mediated work environments require graduates who can navigate uncertainty, think critically, work collaboratively, and employ digital technologies effectively (Fernandes et al., 2023; Komala Dewi et al., 2024; Ogunleye et al., 2024). Traditional curricula—strongly discipline-based, content-driven, and time-bound—are often ill-suited to these demands (Gosper and Ifenthaler, 2014; Dariyono & Rusman, 2023). The research thus often emphasizes embedding 21st-century competencies (critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, communication, digital literacy, problem solving) deeply into curriculum design—not as optional extras, but as integral outcomes (Birru, 2024; Machado & Davim, 2023).

In addition, recent literature has explored how curriculum innovation intersects with pedagogical innovation, institutional and faculty readiness, and issues of sustainability and relevance (Jayadi et al., 2025; Yulin & Danso, 2025). For example, systematic reviews have mapped the current landscape of digital literacy integration across higher education, finding that although many institutions acknowledge its importance, actual implementation often lags due to issues such as inadequate infrastructure, lack of faculty training, resistance to change, and unclear assessment methods (Komala Dewi et al., 2024; Mawardi et al., 2024). Other state-of-the-art works examine how higher education is combining competency-based approaches with problem-based or case-based learning to promote more authentic engagement and learning outcomes (Sistermans, 2020).

Despite the growing body of work, there are gaps. Many studies are descriptive and focus heavily on digital literacy or one or two competencies rather than the full ecosystem of curriculum design, implementation, assessment, and institutional culture. Few examine longitudinal outcomes, sustainability of curriculum innovations, or how graduates perform in complex, real-world settings beyond academic metrics. Also, there is relatively limited research on curriculum innovation in certain geographic or institutional contexts, especially in developing countries or universities with limited resources.

In a growing number of recent studies, curriculum innovation has been operationalized through the integration of digital literacy into higher education programs. For example, in Afghanistan, a case study at Online Women University showed that systematic inclusion of digital literacy—through faculty training, use of diverse digital tools, and alignment with learning outcomes—significantly enhanced students' confidence and competence in using digital resources (Quraishi et al., 2024). Likewise, in Malaysia, investigations of digital literacy practices among postgraduate students in EFL programs revealed that students who frequently use digital tools for academic and non-academic purposes develop better information-filtering skills and academic engagement (Kholidi et al., 2024). These findings underscore the importance of not just providing digital tools, but embedding digital literacy across curriculum, assessments, and instructional practices.

Another strand of research emphasizes the promise and challenges of competency-based curriculum (CBC) implementation. A prominent example comes from Paraguay, where implementation of a competency-based curriculum in the College of Philosophy involved

multiple disciplines and included both student and faculty perceptions; results suggested that many students felt more motivated and believed they acquired skills useful for their profession, although faculty noted the increased preparation time and sometimes felt inadequately supported in the transition (Pereira de Vallejos et al., 2017). Similarly, explorations into competency-based assessment practices among Vietnamese EFL instructors reveal that while teachers appreciate the alignment of assessment with desired competencies, they often struggle with designing assessments that authentically measure complex skills such as creativity or problem solving (Yen & Thao, 2024)

There has also been growing attention to sustainability and scalability of curriculum innovations. The case of polytechnics in Ghana showed how collaborative curriculum redesign—bringing together faculty, industry stakeholders, and external experts—can lead to curriculum that is more responsive to labor market needs, and also build capacity among teachers to sustain innovation over time (Nijhuis, 2020). In addition, a systematic review of digital literacy approaches in higher education identified major obstacles such as resource constraints, varying levels of digital skill among students and faculty, rapid technological change, and the need for ongoing evaluation, but it also pointed to enablers like clear vision, strong institutional leadership, investment in professional development, and robust infrastructure (Chen & Zainudin, 2024).

These studies collectively suggest that while many higher education institutions are making strides toward curriculum innovation, gaps remain—especially in how curriculum innovation is designed to be sustainable, how full integration of 21-century competencies is operationalized (beyond digital literacy alone), and how graduates perform in real-world contexts post-graduation.

Given this background, the present study seeks to contribute to the ongoing “state of the art” in this field by conducting a case study in a higher education program, investigating how curriculum innovation can be designed and implemented to produce future-ready graduates. Specifically, it examines how 21st-century competencies (critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, problem solving, digital literacy) are integrated; what strategies are employed; what challenges are encountered; and what outcomes are achieved. The study aims to provide both empirical insight and practical guidance, and to address some of the gaps noted in existing literature, particularly around implementation and sustainability in real institutional settings.

## **Method**

This study employed a qualitative case study approach, which is suitable for exploring complex educational phenomena within their real-life context (Yin, 2018). The case study method allows for a comprehensive understanding of how curriculum innovation is conceptualized, designed, and implemented in higher education programs to develop future-ready graduates. As Stake (2005) notes, case studies are particularly effective for examining educational practices where contextual factors and human agency play significant roles. This approach was

chosen to capture the multidimensional aspects of curriculum innovation, including pedagogical design, institutional culture, and stakeholders' collaboration.

The research was conducted at a selected university program that has actively adopted curriculum reform initiatives in response to the demands of Industry 4.0 and 21st-century skills frameworks. The selection of this program was based on purposive sampling (Creswell & Poth, 2018), considering its relevance, institutional support for innovation, and accessibility for data collection. The study's focus was not to generalize findings across institutions, but rather to provide an in-depth, contextualized understanding of curriculum transformation in a higher education setting. The case represents an example of how curriculum innovation is realized in practice, involving both academic and administrative perspectives.

Data were collected from three primary sources: (1) curriculum document analysis, including course syllabi, program learning outcomes, and institutional policy documents; (2) semi-structured interviews with six lecturers and two program administrators directly involved in curriculum design and implementation; and (3) classroom observations during selected learning sessions. The use of multiple data sources enabled triangulation, enhancing the credibility and reliability of findings (Patton, 2015; Denzin, 2017). Interviews explored participants' understanding of innovation, strategies used to integrate 21st-century competencies, and challenges faced in implementation. Observations focused on instructional methods, student engagement, and use of digital tools within classroom practice.

Data analysis was conducted through thematic analysis, following the six-phase framework proposed by Braun and Clarke (2006): familiarization, coding, theme development, theme review, definition, and reporting. The analysis was both inductive and deductive—allowing themes to emerge naturally from the data while being guided by pre-identified constructs such as competency integration, pedagogical innovation, digital literacy, and sustainability of change. Patterns were compared across data sources to identify convergence and divergence in perspectives.

To ensure trustworthiness, the study applied strategies recommended by Lincoln and Guba (1985), including credibility, transferability, dependability, and confirmability. Member checking was conducted with interview participants to validate interpretations. A reflective research journal was maintained to document analytic decisions and potential biases throughout the process. Ethical clearance was obtained from the university's institutional review board, and all participants provided informed consent prior to participation. Pseudonyms were used to maintain confidentiality.

Overall, this methodological design allows for a deep exploration of curriculum innovation practices, highlighting how institutional structures, faculty agency, and contextual dynamics interact in shaping future-ready graduates. The combination of document analysis, interviews, and observation provides a rich and balanced dataset for understanding not only what curriculum innovation entails, but also how and why it succeeds or faces constraints in real educational environments.

## Result and Discussion

The findings of this case study demonstrate that curriculum innovation in higher education is not a singular event but an ongoing, iterative process shaped by institutional values, leadership commitment, and faculty engagement. The program under study revealed a deliberate attempt to redesign the curriculum to align with 21st-century competencies while maintaining academic rigor. Data analysis generated four major themes: (1) competency-based curriculum redesign, (2) interdisciplinary and experiential learning, (3) digital literacy and technology integration, and (4) institutional challenges in sustaining innovation. Each theme reflects a distinctive aspect of transformation that contributes to the broader goal of producing future-ready graduates capable of thriving in rapidly changing socio-economic and technological environments.

### 1. Competency-Based Curriculum Redesign

The transition toward a competency-based education (CBE) model was one of the most visible outcomes of curriculum reform. Curriculum documents showed a clear articulation of learning outcomes emphasizing critical thinking, collaboration, creativity, problem-solving, and adaptability. Lecturers described a process of re-mapping course outcomes to align them with institutional graduate profiles and industry expectations. Assessment design was recalibrated to focus on performance-based indicators rather than theoretical mastery alone.

Interview data revealed that this transformation required a significant paradigm shift among faculty members—from teaching content to facilitating learning. Lecturers increasingly viewed themselves as learning designers who guide students to apply knowledge across contexts rather than merely transmit information. As one lecturer noted, “Our challenge is not teaching more content, but enabling students to think and create independently.”

These findings resonate with earlier research showing that CBE enhances employability by fostering transferable skills and learner autonomy (Mulder, 2017; Quraishi et al., 2024). Moreover, the integration of competencies aligns with the OECD’s learning framework for 2030, which emphasizes agency, responsibility, and adaptability as key attributes of lifelong learners (OECD, 2019).

### 2. Interdisciplinary and Experiential Learning

The second major finding concerns the increasing prominence of interdisciplinary collaboration and experiential learning. The program implemented a modular structure allowing students from different fields to work together on thematic projects. These modules simulated real-world challenges, requiring knowledge integration from multiple disciplines. For example, engineering and social science students collaborated to develop digital literacy campaigns targeting rural communities.

This approach reflects Kolb's (2015) experiential learning cycle, where students engage in concrete experiences, reflect, conceptualize, and apply knowledge. Data from classroom observations showed that students were more engaged and demonstrated stronger problem-solving and communication skills. Faculty members emphasized that project-based learning created opportunities to connect academic theory with societal needs.

The success of this approach parallels findings from other studies, such as Healey et al. (2020) and Fadel et al. (2022), who found that interdisciplinary, project-oriented learning fosters deeper engagement and higher-order thinking. Moreover, interdisciplinary collaboration mirrors the realities of modern workplaces, where complex problems demand collective expertise rather than isolated disciplinary perspectives.

### 3. Digital Literacy and Technology Integration

Digital literacy emerged as both a core outcome and a catalyst for innovation. The program integrated digital tools across courses—ranging from data visualization platforms to collaborative project management systems. Document analysis indicated that digital literacy was embedded not as a separate course, but as a transversal competency within the curriculum.

Lecturers reported receiving professional development through institutional initiatives focused on digital pedagogy. The use of hybrid learning platforms allowed flexibility and personalization of learning paths. However, interviews revealed varied levels of digital competence among faculty. Some experienced difficulties adapting to new tools or designing assessments that fully leveraged digital affordances.

These mixed experiences align with global trends documented by Zhang and Zainudin (2024) and Lan and Anh (2024), who noted that successful digital integration in higher education requires institutional readiness and ongoing professional learning. Similarly, Alvarez et al. (2023) found that digital transformation is most effective when combined with pedagogical innovation rather than mere technological adoption.

### 4. Challenges and Institutional Constraints

Despite significant achievements, several structural and cultural barriers were observed. Faculty workload and limited institutional incentives emerged as key issues inhibiting sustained innovation. Many lecturers reported that time constraints limited their ability to experiment with new teaching strategies or conduct reflective evaluation of their curriculum practices.

Furthermore, rigid accreditation and policy frameworks sometimes conflicted with the flexibility required for interdisciplinary and competency-based models. Faculty also mentioned a lack of cohesive communication across departments, leading to duplication of initiatives and inconsistent implementation.

These barriers echo findings from previous research in other higher education contexts (Agyeman et al., 2021; Fullan, 2020). As Fullan (2020) argued, educational innovation is often constrained not by resistance to change, but by the absence of systemic coherence and long-term institutional support.

## 5. Discussion

The findings reinforce the notion that curriculum innovation is a dynamic, relational process—one that involves not only designing new content but reconfiguring how knowledge, skills, and values are constructed within an institution. The convergence of competency-based design, interdisciplinary learning, and digital integration illustrates a holistic rethinking of the learning ecosystem.

This study contributes to the growing body of literature emphasizing the role of learning ecosystems in producing future-ready graduates (UNESCO, 2022). Rather than treating innovation as a policy mandate, the case study demonstrates how localized agency—through faculty initiative, reflective practice, and collaboration—serves as the foundation of sustainable reform.

These results also highlight that while institutional leadership provides structure, meaningful transformation occurs at the classroom level through teacher creativity and student engagement (Darling-Hammond et al., 2021). The study aligns with Stake's (2005) and Yin's (2018) assertions that contextual factors critically shape the effectiveness of innovation, underscoring that no single model can be universally applied.

The interplay between digital transformation and competency-based learning is particularly noteworthy. Together, they redefine “learning outcomes” to include adaptability, resilience, and ethical digital citizenship—competencies essential for navigating the uncertain futures of work and society (World Economic Forum, 2023).

## 6. Implications for Policy and Practice

The study offers several implications. For higher education leaders, sustaining innovation requires institutional mechanisms that balance autonomy with accountability. Continuous professional development, recognition systems for innovative teaching, and supportive digital infrastructure are crucial enablers.

For educators, the findings encourage the adoption of reflective and design-based practices that allow continuous curriculum evolution. Integrating student voice and feedback in curriculum planning can enhance relevance and ownership.

Finally, for policymakers, innovation must be viewed as an evolving ecosystem rather than a linear process—requiring multi-level collaboration, iterative evaluation, and alignment with national and global frameworks for future skills.

## Conclusion

This study highlights that curriculum innovation plays a pivotal role in preparing future-ready graduates in higher education. Through a case study approach, it was found that curriculum transformation anchored in competency-based education and interdisciplinary learning significantly enhances students' relevance to labor market demands and their ability to adapt in a rapidly evolving global environment. The integration of 21st-century competencies—critical thinking, creativity, problem-solving, collaboration, and digital literacy—was not only a pedagogical strategy but also a transformative process that redefined teaching, learning, and institutional culture.

Findings indicate that the success of curriculum innovation depends on three essential pillars: strategic institutional leadership, collaborative curriculum design, and sustainable professional development for educators. These factors collectively enable a continuous cycle of reflection, redesign, and responsiveness to external changes. However, challenges remain, particularly in balancing academic rigor with employability skills and ensuring the scalability of innovative practices across departments.

Moreover, the study underscores that curriculum innovation is not solely about technological integration or content renewal—it involves cultivating a mindset of resilience, flexibility, and lifelong learning among both educators and students. Higher education institutions must therefore view curriculum innovation as an ongoing adaptive ecosystem, aligning educational goals with the uncertain and complex realities of the digital and post-industrial future.

Ultimately, this research provides both empirical and conceptual contributions to the discourse on higher education transformation. It reaffirms that future-ready graduates emerge not merely from updated curricula, but from institutions that embrace innovation as a continuous, context-sensitive, and collaborative endeavor—one that bridges the gap between academic knowledge and real-world competence.

## Reference

- Birru, Y. (2024). The Integration of 21st-Century Skills into the Higher Education Curriculum: Practices and Perspectives Systematic Review. *Teacher Education and Curriculum Studies*, 9(3), 60–68. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.tecs.20240903.12>
- Chen, Z., & Zainudin, Z. (2024). Systematic Review on Developing Digital Literacy Approach in Higher Education Institution. *Uniglobal Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 3(2), 234–241. <https://doi.org/10.53797/ujssh.v3i2.22.2024>
- Dariyono, & Rusman. (2023). Curriculum Transformation in The 21st Century Education: Perspectives, Challenges, and Prospects. *Proceedings International Conference on Education Innovation and Social Science*, July, 57–68.

- Fernandes, F. A., Rodrigues, C. S. C., Teixeira, E. N., & Werner, C. M. L. (2023). Immersive Learning Frameworks: A Systematic Literature Review. *IEEE Transactions on Learning Technologies*, 16(5), 736–747. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TLT.2023.3242553>
- Jayadi, A., Ikawati, H. D., Abdurrahman, A., & Irawan, M. A. (2025). Digital Literacy Development Strategy in Higher Education Institutions. *Jurnal Teknologi Pendidikan : Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pengembangan Pembelajaran*, 10(1), 30. <https://doi.org/10.33394/jtp.v10i1.13882>
- Kholidi, M. A., Ariawan, S., & Syaunqina Azhan, N. A. S. (2024). Digital Literacy Practices Of English As A Second Language Students : A Case Study At Mara University Of Technology. *Ajtlhe*, 16(July), 104–119. <https://doi.org/10.17576/ajtlhe.1601.2024.07>
- Komala Dewi, R., Lasmana, O., & Diliarosta, S. (2024). How to cite: Nadhila, A et al (2024). The Influence of Overconfidence and Risk Perception on Investment Decisions: The Moderating Effect of Financial Literacy on Individual Millennial Implications and Impact of Digital Literacy on Higher Education: System. *Generation Investors. Journal Eduvest*, 4(6), 5300–5312. <http://eduvest.greenvest.co.id>
- Machado, C. F., & Davim, J. P. (2023). Sustainability in the Modernization of Higher Education: Curricular Transformation and Sustainable Campus—A Literature Review. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15118615>
- Mawardi, Silitubun, E., Edi, W., Butarbutar, I., & Astuty, H. S. (2024). Integrating Digital Literacy into Curriculum for Enhancing Student Engagement in Higher Education. *Join: Journal of Social Science*, 1(6), 253–265. <https://doi.org/10.59613/yt1ge875>
- Nijhuis, C. G. (2020). Collaborative Curriculum Design for Sustainable Innovation and Teacher Learning : Culturally Sensitive Curriculum Development. In SpringerOpen.
- Ogunleye, B., Zakariyyah, K. I., Ajao, O., Olayinka, O., & Sharma, H. (2024). A Systematic Review of Generative AI for Teaching and Learning Practice. *Education Sciences*, 14(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14060636>
- Pereira de Vallejos, A., Giménez Morel, R. A., & Tusing, J. (2017). Implementation of competency-based curriculum: College of Philosophy, Universidad Nacional del Este, Paraguay. *The Journal of Competency-Based Education*, 2(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cbe2.1038>
- Quraishi, T., Helena ULUSI, Asma MUHID, Musawer HAKIMI, & Mohammad Reshad OLUSI. (2024). Empowering Students Through Digital Literacy: A Case Study of Successful Integration in a Higher Education Curriculum. *Journal of Digital Learning and Distance Education*, 2(8), 667–681. <https://doi.org/10.56778/jdlde.v2i8.208>
- Sisternans, I. J. (2020). Integrating competency-based education with a case-based or problem-based learning approach in online health sciences. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 21(4), 683–696. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12564-020-09658-6>

- Yen, P. H., & Thao, L. T. (2024). Exploring the implementation and perception of competency-based assessment practices among Vietnamese EFL instructors. *Language Testing in Asia*, 14(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40468-024-00300-5>
- Yulin, N., & Danso, S. D. (2025). Assessing Pedagogical Readiness for Digital Innovation: A Mixed-Methods Study. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2502.15781>
- Gosper, M; Ifenthaler, D (2014). Curriculum design for the twenty-first century. Deakin University. Chapter. <https://hdl.handle.net/10536/DRO/DU:30060708>
- Agyeman, F. O., Boakye, J., & Osei, M. (2021). Curriculum Transformation in Ghanaian Polytechnics: Collaborative Design and Implementation. *Journal of Education and Work*, 34(7), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13639080.2021.1906053>
- Barnett, R. (2021). *The Ecological University: A Feasible Utopia*. Routledge.
- Fullan, M., & Langworthy, M. (2014). *A Rich Seam: How New Pedagogies Find Deep Learning*. Pearson Education. [https://michaelfullan.ca/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/3897.Rich\\_Seam\\_web.pdf](https://michaelfullan.ca/wp-content/uploads/2014/01/3897.Rich_Seam_web.pdf)
- Laurillard, D. (2012). *Teaching as a Design Science: Building Pedagogical Patterns for Learning and Technology*. Routledge.
- OECD. (2018). *The Future of Education and Skills: Education 2030 – The Future We Want*. OECD Publishing. <https://www.oecd.org/education/2030-project/>
- Schleicher, A. (2020). *The Impact of AI and Digitalization on Education and Skills*. OECD. <https://www.oecd.org/education/the-impact-of-ai-on-education.htm>
- Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2009). *21st Century Skills: Learning for Life in Our Times*. Jossey-Bass.
- UNESCO. (2022). *Reimagining Our Futures Together: A New Social Contract for Education*. UNESCO. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000379707>
- Van der Zwaan, B. (2017). *Higher Education in 2040: A Global Approach*. Amsterdam University Press. <https://doi.org/10.5117/9789462985710>
- Zhao, Y. (2012). *World Class Learners: Educating Creative and Entrepreneurial Students*. Corwin Press.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Denzin, N. K. (2017). *The research act: A theoretical introduction to sociological methods* (4th ed.). Routledge.



Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. SAGE Publications.

Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluation methods: Integrating theory and practice* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Stake, R. E. (2005). Qualitative case studies. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *The Sage handbook of qualitative research* (3rd ed., pp. 443–466). SAGE Publications.

Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (6th ed.). SAGE Publications.

